

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/566,579

Applicant(s)

AZAMI ET AL

Examiner

Joseph V. Micali

Art Unit

1793

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Joseph V. Micali.(3) Stacey A. Fluhart.(2) Michael Marcheschi.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 May 2009.Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-5 and 10.Identification of prior art discussed: All applied.Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The re-working of the claim 2 limitation into claim 1, as well as the possible addition of a new dependent claim regarding the angle of laser ablation was discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Michael A Marcheschi/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793/Joseph V Micali/
Examiner, Art Unit 1793